Khan Bahadur G. Saiyid Abdul Karim Sahib Suhrawardy : No, Sir, I have not received any complaint. But since I have sent the answers to Government I have found out that there is some grievance like this and I have asked the D. I. G. to make some enquiries and I hope that what is possible will be done in the matter.

Lands allowed for rubber cultivation.

75. ***Mr. E. K. Kuriyppe:** (1) Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) the extent of land allowed this year for rubber cultivation in this State;

(*b*) whether the Indian Rubber Licensing Committee have power to permit rubber cultivation ; if so, the extent of land for which they could give permission ; and the extent to which they gave permission; and

(*c*) the extent of land in respect of which they have received applications for rubber cultivation ?

(2) Will they be pleased to lay on the table a statement showing the number of applications received, the number sanctioned, the extent of land applied for by each applicant and the extent sanctioned, the basis on which the extent was prescribed to each applicant, the number of applications rejected and the grounds on which the applications were rejected?

Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao (1) (*a*) 4871·05 acres.

(*b*) The permits issued by the Indian Rubber Licensing Committee, Kottayam, before the 22nd May 1939 have been accepted by Government. Such permits cover an extent of 1512·37 acres.

(*c*) The intention of the member is not clear. If the extent at the disposal of Government for allotment be meant, the same is 3358·68 acres. If the aggregate of the areas applied for by the applicants be meant, the information is not available and it is not possible to collect the same without considerable time and labour.

(2) 6680 applications were received. Of these, 455 applications were granted and the remaining applications were not granted. To furnish information in regard to the area applied for by each applicant, the grounds for rejection of each application, the basis on which the extent was prescribed to each applicant etc., will involve considerable time and labour which is not commensurate with the utility of the result.

Order re: payment of thadivila, tharavila, etc.

76. **Mr. E. K. Kuriyppe.** Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether an order has been recently passed that when agreement is executed for the instalment payment of the thadivila, tharavila, etc., other properties having no charge, should be given as security;

(*b*) if, so the date of the order;

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. E. K. Kuriyppe.]

(*c*) the reason for issuing such an order;

(*d*) whether instalment payments are not ordinarily allowed to poor people who are hard pressed for money.

(*e*) whether it is possible for such persons to have properties having no charge, during this period of depression;

(*f*) whether if this order stands, the poor people will not be able to buy lands in auction; and

(*g*) whether this order will apply to those who have purchased lands in auction before the date of this order and who are allowed instalment payment?

Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao: (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) 3rd December 1938.

(*c*) To safeguard the interests of Government.

(*d*) Ordinarily thadivila and tharavila are payable in lump. In cases where the parties are unable to pay the dues in lump, payment by instalments may be allowed on application when the amount exceeds Rs. 250.

(*e*) Yes; where the parties are unable to furnish security they may pay the thadivila in lump.

(*f*) No; they will be able to buy lands in auction.

(*g*) Will apply to all cases where agreements were not completed on the date of its issue.

Cardamom land in the Pallivasal pakuthi.

77. ***Mr. E. K. Kuriyppe**: Will the Government be pleased to state;

(*a*) the extent of cardamom lands in the Pallivasal pakuthi, which were surveyed up to Edavom 1114;

(*b*) the amount spent by Government therefor; and

(*c*) whether up to Edavom 1114, works such as erecting stones for the survey, alignment, holding chains and flags at the time of survey, etc., were carried on at Government cost or at the cost of those who have applied for land?

Mr. M. Ramachandra Rao: (*a*) 6514·17 acres.

(*b*) The information is not available. But a sum of Rs. 10,412-20-2 was spent on account of the staff deputed for the survey of the above work and other items of work in the Devicolam taluk.

(*c*) No expenditure under stone planting or line clearing charges was incurred by Government. Charges on account of chainmen's wages alone have been paid by Government.

Complaints against Police Inspector, Thuckalay.

78. * **Mr. M. Sultan Muhiadheen**: Will the Government be pleased to state:

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly